In The Matter Of:

BROOKLINE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS HEARING

HEARING - Vol. 19 December 8, 2014

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

101 Arch Street 3rd Floor Boston, MA 02110 Phone: 617.542.0039 Fax: 617.542.2119

Volume XIX

Pages 1-50

Brookline Zoning Board of Appeals Hearing

Case Number 20130094

40B Application by Chestnut Hill Realty

The Residences of South Brookline

December 8, 2014 at 7:00 p.m.

Office of Town Counsel

333 Washington Street, 6th floor

Brookline, Massachusetts 02445

Merrill Corporation LegaLink, Inc.

179 Lincoln Street, Suite 401

Boston, Massachusetts 02111

(617) 542-0039 Fax (617) 542-2119

Reporter: Kristen C. Krakofsky

```
1
                            Appearances
 2.
      Board Members:
      Jesse Geller, Chairman
      Jonathan Book
 5
      Chris Hussey
 6
      Mark Zuroff, Associate Member
 7
 8
      Allison Steinfeld, Planning Director
      Samuel Nagler, Esquire, Krokidas & Bluestein
 9
10
      Edith M. Netter, Esquire,
11
      Edith M. Netter & Associates, P.C.
12
      Joseph Geller, Stantec Consulting
13
      Theo Kindermans, Stantec Consulting
14
      Marc Levin, Chestnut Hill Realty
      Steven Schwartz, Esquire, Goulston & Storrs
15
16
      Dan Bennett, Building Commissioner
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	7:09 p.m.
3	MR. JESSE GELLER: Good evening, everyone.
4	This is our continued hearing of the Residences of
5	Chestnut Hill. I'm Jesse Geller. To my left is Chris
6	Hussey, then Jonathan Book, and then Mark Zuroff.
7	Tonight's hearing will be an opportunity for
8	the applicant to present their revised plans. And as
9	many will recall, at the last hearing, last Monday, the
10	board had some, shall we call them, marching orders.
11	We took some comments from the fire chief, which were
12	particularly helpful, and he had some suggestions, if
13	you will, for changes. We will, once we see the
14	presentation, then have an opportunity to continue our
15	discussion to the extent necessary. Largely, it will
16	be based upon the changes we have or have not made. We
17	well then morph into a discussion about waivers, and
18	that will hopefully carry us to the not the witching
19	hour.
20	The next hearing will be December the 15th.
21	And when get done with the discussion on waivers, or
22	the presentation on waivers, we'll then run through
23	just broadly what we hope to accomplish.
24	MR. JESSE GELLER: So, Marc, are you

```
presenting?
 1
 2
               MR. LEVIN: Chairman Geller, board members,
      planning staff, I'm Marc Levin, Chestnut Hill Realty.
      I'm happy to report that we submitted a new plan of
 5
      record this morning that reflects the changes to the
      revised concept, Plan B, that we've been discussing
 6
      here.
               So tonight I would like to run through the
      changes from the last evening, last Monday, and then
 9
10
      we'll discuss waivers. I believe that that plan
11
      package is complete with the exception of a photometric
      plan which we sort of knew we weren't going to be able
12
13
      to complete by today. And if there's any other
14
      additional information the planning staff desires, we
15
      will provide it for you.
               So first let's take a look at the revised site
16
17
      plan. It's similar to the old site plan with some
      significant changes. First off, I'd like to point out
18
      on the west side we eliminated the 10 parking spaces
19
20
      that was requested of us. They were ostensibly taken
21
      out over here where there used to be a parking lot as
      an extension of this circle, and so we're able to
22
23
      create a little green pocket, additional green pocket
24
      over there, and put some space, green space, between
```

Building 1 and the turn-around. 1 2 Similarly, on the west side -- I'll show you some images later -- we have modified the skin of those infill buildings to have but half brick and half 5 clapboard-type material and to better correlate to the abutting properties on the west side. 6 On the east side, as we mentioned, we were able to get egress out from this lot. In doing so, we lost two parking spaces, two of the twenty-one from the east side, and so the fire access has now been created 10 11 so that the fire truck does not have to back up to get 12 out of the lot on E1. 13 I might add, the buildings along this side 14 have a little more brick, which those images will show 15 once again, to make them more consistent with the 16 abutting properties along Russett Road. 17 On E2 we eliminated nine parking spaces from the main lot. We also see the hammerhead more clearly 18 19 defined on the north side of the apartment building. 20 This hammerhead was a design aspect that the fire chief 21 articulated comfort with. 22 E3, once again, we created a cul-de-sac so

that the fire trucks do not have to back up, at the

request of the fire chief. In doing so, we lost 10

23

24

parking spaces for a total of 21. And in the event 1 2 that we are able to get access out to the VFW Parkway, this little area here will be reconfigured. Perhaps the building may need to be moved, but that parking 5 area will be reconfigured and we will, by agreement, if you will, get 10 spaces back. 6 Looking at these in greater close up, here you see on the west side how this used to be a parking lot in this direction and we lost the spaces there and I 10 think a couple others along the way. But in any event, 11 we did lose 10 spaces on the west side and we now have 12 61, I believe. 13 Here at lot E1 we have created -- we were 14 fortunate enough to notice that these were actually not 1.5 striped parking spaces here, and therefore we were not 16 reducing the conformity of the remaining lot, and so we 17 were able to then just drive across this lawn and give the fire chief access out in either direction. 18 19 This is where we lost the nine This is E2. 20 parking spaces. And here's a more clear definition of 21 the hammerhead that conforms to turning radii, 22 et cetera. 23 And here is a close-up of E3 with it 24 reconfigured to allow for a turn-around and, as I

mentioned, in doing so we lost 10 parking spaces 1 2 there. So here's a summary of the change from the previous plan. You see the total of 31 parking spaces 5 removed of which 10 were from the west side and 21 from the east side. 6 And here is simply the change from our original application. Obviously we've lost more 8 parking overall, and primarily it was on the east side. 10 Here is the building -- so this is the front. 11 I didn't do all of the building facades, though they were submitted in the package. I just did the fronts 12 13 of the buildings. This is Buildings 1, 2, 3, and 10. 14 1, 2, and 3, obviously, are on the west side and you 15 see that it's only partially brick. And this is the L-shaped building as you look at it from the access 16 17 road; likewise, a mixture of -- greater mixture of 18 materials. 19 If you move on, Building 5, this is the view 20 from Independence. This is where we start using a 21 little more brick on the east side of the property, 22 although it's still a mix. Some of the buildings are 23 all brick, some of them are partially brick.

Here's Buildings 6, 9, and 11. So 6 is the

24

- 1 next one on E1. Also, you can notice that we've mixed
- 2 up the facade treatment at the entrance, gave it a
- 3 little interest and diversity, variety.
- 4 Here is 7 and 8. These two buildings straddle
- 5 Thornton Road and they have -- obviously, as I've
- 6 mentioned, this is a two-bedroom, whereas on this side
- 7 it's a three-bedroom. They can fit a lot outside of
- 8 the setback that we had agreed to.
- 9 And that's what I've got. I'm glad to answer
- any questions you may have before we move on to
- 11 waivers.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Questions? No?
- 13 Mr. Hussey?
- MR. HUSSEY: No, no questions.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 MR. LEVIN: I'd like to have Steve step up and
- 17 have a discussion of waivers.
- 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: Let me make a note, just
- 19 initially, about the revised plans, which is that I
- 20 believe those were presented to planning at -- later
- 21 this afternoon, and therefore they have not been posted
- but will be posted on the town's website.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
- 24 members of the board. Steven Schwartz, Goulston &

Storrs, counsel for the applicant. 1 2 Joe Geller will be presenting, in a moment, the waivers based on the current plan of record, the new plan of record that Marc just outlined. I want to 5 thank the building commissioner and his staff and the planning staff who've been really professional and very 6 good to work with in working through these issues, extremely helpful to us. I think it's been a good process and thank the board and everybody for their patience getting to this point where we can present the 10 11 waivers, hopefully, based on the final plan. 12 So as I said, as we understand what the board 13 desires, I think Joe will be presenting the waivers 14 based on a summary and categories of the types of 15 waivers that are required. But, of course, after we do that -- and I assume that you're going to want to hear 16 17 from the building commissioner as well -- we'll be 18 happy to then request details from Marc about the specifics of the waivers. 19 20 Originally there were only two lots, as you 21 may recall. There was a west lot and an east lot. And technical reasons -- we had to create three lots on the 22 23 east side. And the waivers, per the bylaw, are 24 required on a per lot basis, and I just point that out

because a number of these waivers are required simply 1 2 because of the lot configuration and for no other reason. A number of the other waivers, parking, for 5 example, as you'll hear, are required because of the actual changes to the plan that we've made to try to 6 accommodate the board's desires. Things like parking and setbacks, dimension between buildings, you'll hear from Joe in a minute on those. My sense is -- and, you know, you, I'm sure, 10 will hear from your experts on this -- for a 40B 11 project, particularly with a zoning bylaw that is as 12 13 complicated -- to use a charitable word -- Brookline 14 zoning bylaw is a quite convoluted zoning bylaw. For a 15 40B project, in my experience, the actual list of waivers -- the number of waivers is actually a fairly 16 17 modest one. It's actually a pretty manageable list and not an incredibly extensive list of waivers. 18 19 But I think I'll just leave you with one final 20 point, which I think is very important to point out, 21 that just about all the waivers, almost all of them are 22 required based on the relationship between the 40B lot 23 and the rest of Hancock Village. There are actually

very few waivers that are required because of the

24

relationship between the project and the surrounding 1 2. neighborhood. So things like setback, you know, like, are really based on -- as you'll hear -- are based on the relationship between this and the lot lines and not 5 based on the relationship to the neighborhood per se. So with that, I'd like to turn it over to Joe 6 who will summarize the categories of waivers that were 8 requested. MR. JOE GELLER: Good evening. Joe Geller with Stantec Consulting, and I will try to summarize 10 11 the waivers, which are fairly complicated. So I'll do 12 the summary and then hopefully -- I have my cheat sheet 13 here, so I'll do this effectively. And then if you 14 want to get into the specifics or if you want to hear 15 specifics from the building commissioner, that will be 16 fine. 17 So the waivers are in a number of categories, the way we chose to look at them, basically use, the 18 NCD, design, setback, density and FAR, height, and 19 20 parking. So I'll go through each one of those 21 categories and sort of generally explain -- I'll use 22 these plans to kind of point out some things that I 23 think you care to understand. I think the critical 24 pieces -- as Steve pointed out, a lot of this relates

to the relationship between the buildings and this 1 2 lease line. So a lot of these that I'm going to talk about are in relation to the lease line, and then some of them are more specifically in relation to the fact 5 that we had to create three lots on the east side, which created more requirements for variance. 6 So I'll start with the use. The use waiver is really related to multifamily units in the S7 district. Multifamily isn't allowed in the S7 district, and so that's a waiver for use. 10 That's the 11 one specific use waiver that we're talking about. 12 We've asked for a waiver of all requirements 13 in the NCD. I think that was pretty self-explanatory. 14 We've asked for waivers from Section 509, the 15 design review portion of the bylaw. That's the 16 requirement to have the project go through a design 17 review process. So that one, again, is pretty self-18 explanatory. 19 So then we're getting into the setback 20 requirements. So, again, many of these are due to the 21 interior lease line. Parking setbacks, one of the ones 22 that we're talking about in this plan, we can see the 23 setback from the parking to this lease line over here 24 is too close. There's a requirement to have parking

- 1 set back from the front rear inside the property line.
- 2 So that's one of the requirements.
- 3 There's requirements in -- this building,
- 4 actually, is probably the best explanation for a lot of
- 5 the things on the setbacks. So there's a front yard
- 6 setback requirement, as you can see this lot line going
- 7 like this. So it's -- this one here has a front yard
- 8 setback because we're too close, but we're aligning
- 9 with this building. This one is not just the front
- 10 looking towards the front lot line, but it's the front
- 11 as it relates to front for rear. This is the rear, so
- it's the front -- very kind of convoluted and
- 13 Byzantine, but that's one of the requirements for that
- 14 one there.
- There's a side requirement here where we're
- 16 too close to this side lot line on the interior lot
- 17 line between us and Hancock Village. And then because
- 18 as this goes around, this becomes a rear, we're too
- 19 close to the rear setback as well. So this gives you a
- 20 pretty good example of what happens when you go through
- 21 the rest of the site with the various setbacks for each
- 22 one of these buildings.
- 23 If we go to the next plan, another thing in
- 24 terms of setback is the space between buildings. As we

started to push and pull and try to create more green 1 2 space in the S7 district, we ended up pushing buildings closer together. We're asking for a waiver for the distance between these two buildings on one lot. There 5 is -- here, because this is a front, we have a setback 6 requirement for the front. And that side, again, because we moved the buildings around, those occurred as we modified the plans. So I think that kind of explains the setback issues. 10 Density and FAR -- so on this lot, this is 11 what we have in the M.5 district. And in the M.5 12 district there's a minimum lot size that relates to the 13 number of -- the amount of square footage that's 14 required per unit in the M.5 district. So it's the 15 first three -- you have to have 3,000 square feet for the first unit and then 2,000 square feet for every 16 17 additional unit. So because of the way we configured the lot within the M.5, we don't have enough room to do 18 that. We would be allowed -- we're proposing 109 units 19 20 in this area, but we don't have the total area that's 21 required for this. 22 The other FAR requirement is in E1 -- or 23 waivers -- in E1. Again, where originally we had all 24 this lot connected, we wouldn't have required that

waiver, but because we have this much smaller lot and 1 2 we've added buildings in here, that is also requiring a waiver for FAR. Overall -- everything would be .57 if you were looking at the overall -- the whole site. 5 So that's the -- oh, and the other FAR or 6 density issue is usable open space. Again, it's only related to the M.5 lot where we have a 30 percent requirement. But because of the size and how we created the lot just around the building, we have a 30 10 percent requirement. We need a waiver for that 11 requirement as well. 12 Stick with this plan, getting to height. 13 the major height waiver is for this building, the 14 four-story building, four- or three-story. And the 15 waiver is for twenty-one feet one inch, and it really relates to -- again, the waiver requirement calculates 16 17 height. It's very complicated. You have to go all the way around the building, calculate the area all the way 18 around the building, calculate the lot line all the way 19 20 around the building, find the lowest point, subtract 21 your shoe size -- no, I'm only kidding -- and eventually and get to a point where you get to the 22 23 height of the building. 24 Most people would assume that when you're

- 1 looking at a building, you would do the average around
- 2 the building and then go 35 feet from that. That's not
- 3 the way Brookline zoning works, so it makes it rather
- 4 complicated. So you -- in some places, because the
- 5 lowest point is over here and the building is over
- 6 here, you may have a building that's actually 33 feet.
- 7 You averaged it all the way around the building. But
- 8 because of the way it's calculated, it requires a
- 9 variance.
- 10 So in this case, because we're so close to our
- 11 lot line right here, the interior lot line -- again,
- 12 the closest building over in here is here and then way
- 13 over here -- we require a waiver. If we were to
- 14 calculate using -- ignoring that closest point and
- 15 using the closest point to the abutting property, we
- 16 wouldn't be required to have a waiver for height for
- 17 that building.
- 18 And then there's three other buildings, this
- 19 one here, and then this building -- I'm sorry, this
- 20 building and -- five that are within a foot or two, two
- 21 and a half feet of height, and that's what the waiver's
- for. So that kind of deals with height.
- 23 And then parking -- again, the bylaw is kind
- of interesting because we have the three lots now on

this side and then the lot on the other side. 1 2 we're parking within 1,400 feet -- the distance is beyond 1,400 feet -- we require a waiver, and that's because we're parking on different lots. We're 5 requiring a waiver because, as we talked about at previous hearings, if people are going to park their 6 car over here but live here, they'd be parking on a different lot, so that requires a waiver as well. And then as a result of last week's hearing, we now have 292 proposed parking spaces. The bylaw 10 11 requires 323 proposed parking spaces, so we're asking 12 for a waiver for a reduction in the number of parking 13 spaces required. And that's sort of the overview. I'm happy to 14 15 get into more detail if you'd like. 16 MR. HUSSEY: I've got just a couple of 17 questions. I asked this already of the Planning Department, but I want to confirm. 18 19 So this package that we've got dated 20 December 4th is the waiver list that --21 MR. JESSE GELLER: No. One came out at 22 quarter of five today, December 8th. 23 MR. HUSSEY: Uh-huh. All right.

MR. JOE GELLER:

24

The only changes to that were

- 1 the calculation in height, revisiting height on three
- of the smaller buildings, and then the parking because
- 3 we reduced the number of the parking spaces.
- 4 MR. LEVIN: Just the height.
- 5 MR. HUSSEY: Okay. So this package, then, all
- 6 the previous waiver packages are superseded by this
- 7 December 8th package?
- 8 MR. JOE GELLER: Yes.
- 9 I do want to point out, if it wasn't clear,
- that the height on all of the buildings is less than 35
- 11 feet if you measure from the ground, except for the
- 12 four-story building. If you measured around the
- 13 buildings, it would be 35 feet. It's just the way the
- 14 bylaw calculates it.
- 15 MR. HUSSEY: There was another question I had,
- but it related to the footnote number 2 on
- 17 December 4th.
- MS. NETTER: It doesn't exist.
- 19 MR. HUSSEY: I think I'm being corrected here.
- 20 Anyway, on the December 4th package there was
- 21 a footnote number 2, but I think it was just placed in
- the wrong category. And it refers to minimum yard
- 23 requirements, but the footnote itself was on an eye
- 24 issue having height -- do you follow it?

MR. SCHWARTZ: I believe that got fixed. 1 2 you look at the updated one -- thank you for pointing that out. I believe on the December 8th version that footnote was fixed. 5 MR. HUSSEY: Okay. Thank you. MR. JESSE GELLER: That it? 6 MR. HUSSEY: Yup, that's it. MR. JESSE GELLER: Ouestions? Mr. Book? MR. BOOK: Mr. Geller, none of the side yard waivers relate to the distance -- I'm asking it as a 10 question -- relate to the lot with the -- outside 11 12 the -- Hancock Village -- the neighborhood? 13 MR. JOE GELLER: The side yard, yes. 14 MR. BOOK: I'm sorry? 1.5 MR. JOE GELLER: You're asking if any of the 16 side yard setbacks relate to the abutting properties 17 outside --18 MR. BOOK: Yes. 19 MR. JOE GELLER: None of the side yards, but 20 there was a question of how this yard was interpreted, 21 which turned out to be a rear yard setback waiver for 22 this one area, but that's the only one. But basically, 23 you know, it should be a 20-foot setback all the way 24 around this whole side yard.

MR. BOOK: And so I heard what you said about 1 2 the infill buildings. They're all under 35 feet. It's a matter of the way the bylaw interprets the height that drives the need for the waivers. 5 On the midrise building, what is the highest point -- on the four-story, how much are the waiver --6 MR. JOE GELLER: It's 21 feet, 1 inch. 8 So here's the way it works. This is very confusing. The way it works is you take the closest 9 10 dimension -- you go to the lowest point, you take the 11 closest dimension, and you do a quarter of the 12 distance, and that gives you a little bit more height. 13 So that gave us a little bit more than 35, but then the 14 building height is 21 feet from that 35 point. Does 15 that make sense? 16 MR. BOOK: No, I understand what you're 17 saying, that the waiver you're requesting is about 21 18 feet. What I'm asking is --19 MR. JOE GELLER: If you were to go around the 20 whole building? 21 MR. BOOK: If you were looking at the 22 building -- well, no. Let me -- I was asking for you 23 to put it in -- to reference the height in the same way 24 that you spoke of the infill buildings. The infill

- 1 buildings are all 35 -- below 35 feet. The need for
- 2 the waivers are attributed by the lot configurations.
- 3 Can you make a similar statement with respect to the
- 4 midrise?
- 5 MR. LEVIN: So on the north side of the
- 6 building, it's -- the first floor is at grade. You
- 7 have a 40-foot, give or take, floor to floor -- I'm
- 8 sorry -- 10-foot, floor to floor, 40 feet from the
- 9 aggregate on the inside of that building.
- If you go to the northeast face, the short
- 11 face where the garage comes in, you probably have
- 12 another 10 feet to get into the garage, and then it
- 13 comes back pretty close to grade around the corner and
- 14 then it starts to drop down.
- By the time you get to the entrance of the
- 16 lower level garage, you now are at about 60 feet. And
- then it stays at about that level, although we're
- looking at grading, how to make that, you know, work as
- less of a drop-off from the first floor to grade, try
- 20 to grade that out a little differently. And so it's
- 21 somewhere in between the 60 and hopefully closer to 40
- 22 when you're done.
- MR. JOE GELLER: So if you average that all
- the way around the building, it's going to be 50 feet

but --1 2 MR. LEVIN: At worst. MR. BOOK: Thank you. MR. JESSE GELLER: One question on the first 5 page of the revised waivers list, it's under the waiver that's requested for residential parking areas. 6 still referring to residential parking garages. only garage I'm aware of is in the midrise. Right? MR. JOE GELLER: It says "parking garages or 10 parking areas." 11 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yeah. I'm okay with 12 parking areas. 13 MR. JOE GELLER: Yeah. But I think that's --14 MR. SCHWARTZ: I think you're right about that 15 because the parking garages -- the only parking garage at this point is in the main building, which will only 16 17 be used for occupants of that building, so we don't 18 need this waiver for the other lot, so I think we can 19 strike those words there. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Exactly. Thank you. 21 That's really all I had. Thank you. 22 MR. NAGLER: Just a technical question. 23 is what I thought Chris was getting at. The footnote 2 24 that referenced building height calculation plan dated

November 20, 2014, that's being superseded by the plans 1 2 that were submitted today; correct? MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct. 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: And those measurements 5 reflect the updated plan. MR. SCHWARTZ: It should say December 8, 6 2014. Thank you. 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: Anything else on the technical stuff? 9 10 (No audible answer.) 11 MR. JESSE GELLER: I'd like to call on the building commissioner to provide his comments on the 12 13 waiver requests. Mr. Bennett? 14 MR. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 respect to your last comment with the garages and the 16 parking lots, that language is lifted right out of our 17 use table and it's just one complete sentence, so it 18 includes garages and parking areas. That's why the 19 garage is listed either on the use 22 or 54 or 55. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Whereas in this -- it 21 has -- my concern is that it would have an applicable 22 meaning in this case that is out of the context of 23 what's been agreed upon. 24 MR. BENNETT: Because the previous garages --

```
MR. JESSE GELLER:
                                  Right.
 1
 2
               MR. BENNETT: That's fine. That's just a
 3
      point of clarification.
          So with respect to the waivers and the waiver
 5
      list, building department staff and planning staff have
      reviewed several iterations of waiver lists as this
 6
      project has moved forward. We've reviewed the waivers
      for consistency and proper application with respect to
      the zoning bylaws. The most recent submittal or the
10
      revised waiver came in today, late in the afternoon,
11
      and we were able to review some of those documents
      completely and some not in any real complete fashion.
12
13
          The biggest change to the most recent list of
14
      waivers is the waiver for height on three buildings,
15
      Building Number 3, Number 5, and Number 7. In our look
      at the tables that the applicant provided, I think
16
17
      there was a small error in the table. They used a
18
      distance of 38.58 to calculate the building height, and
      in another column, the table, you're supposed to use
19
20
      the distance to the lot line of that grade and it
21
      should be 20 feet. And that certainly could either be
22
      discussed tonight or revised and can come forward next
23
      week. As part of that modification, that would add
24
      Building Number 6 to the waiver list, in my view, of
```

the waiver of 2.13 feet. 1 In addition, last week I provided a memo that 2 essentially identified the list of waivers by the applicant, and I was asked to look at that and make a 5 determination of whether these were required to build. And that term, "required to build," means the waiver is 6 required to construct the project as proposed. So I have done that, for the most part, with all the dimensional relief for the setbacks with the use. The height issues are still something that I 10 11 believe have to be discussed further. 12 The parking -- I wasn't able to do a thorough 13 review of the parking request because there were 14 modifications, as you recall, at the end of the meeting 1.5 last week. There was a reduction of 21 spaces. 16 it was agreed to reduce by another 10 spaces for a 17 total of 31. Not knowing where those were going to be taken from or how they would fall, I didn't do a 18 complete review with respect to setbacks for some of 19 20 these parking areas. 21 I would say that for the most part that if 22 there was a reduction in the number of spaces and/or a 23 reduction in some of the paved area, more likely than 24 not they didn't increase or add a new waiver than what

- 1 they've already had before us with respect to setbacks
- 2 in parking areas or isles within the front, the side,
- 3 or the rear yard in any of those two districts.
- That's pretty much everything I've got at this
- 5 point. If there are any questions, I'd be happy to try
- 6 to answer.
- 7 One other point of clarification. Mr. Geller
- 8 referred to the waiver of 21.1 feet for the midrise
- 9 building. According to the most recent plan that I
- 10 have, I calculated about 21.66 feet. It's not a huge
- difference, but it's about maybe a 7-inch difference.
- 12 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Bennett, I assume
- 13 you'll have an opportunity to review these and complete
- your comments by next weeks's hearing?
- 15 MR. BENNETT: Yes.
- 16 MR. JESSE GELLER: Great.
- 17 MR. BENNETT: We've tried to work with the
- 18 applicant on -- typically, we have had some
- 19 conversations with respect to the waiver list that's
- 20 been submitted. With the time frame here and the
- 21 applicant trying to get things in before a deadline, it
- 22 kind of squeezed our time and we weren't able to
- 23 accomplish that review.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Right. So I just --

forgive me for dumbing this down. What you've done is 1 2 you've reviewed the methodology within which they've made these calculations as well as the substance of the ask. And what you're doing is you're verifying that 5 one, these are legitimate asks under our bylaws, so to speak; and two, the methodologies they're utilizing, 6 for instance in calculating height, are the correct 8 methodologies and that's why you commented that you think on one or two of the buildings the methodology has been slightly off and you've come up with a 10 11 slightly different calculation. You mentioned the midrise building, but I think you've also thrown back 12 13 in that the waiver would be required for Building 6. 14 Correct? 1.5 MR. BENNETT: Yes. MS. NETTER: Can I just clarify something? 16 17 think you used the word "legitimate," that their 18 requests were legitimate. 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: Were legitimate under the 20 bylaws. 21 MS. NETTER: I think, perhaps, what you meant 22 are -- were those that, with respect to dimension and 23 use, are necessary if they want to build this project. 24 MR. JESSE GELLER: Correct.

1 MR. BENNETT: Yes. We looked at -- whatever 2. the applicant proposed or showed on the waiver list, we looked at that. We did not look for anything that the applicant may have missed. 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: Right. And I'm not suggesting that you should have done that or would have 6 done that. MR. BENNETT: There were some instances where they applied a setback issue on one portion of one of the four sites, but they didn't apply it in another. 10 11 So in those instances where they picked it up, at one 12 point we did then say, all right, for consistent 13 application across the project, you should show it for this section and that section. But if there's 14 1.5 something completely missing, we did not review it for 16 that. 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: Understood. Thank you. 18 Anybody have questions? 19 (No audible response.) 20 MR. HUSSEY: No. No questions. 21 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Nagler? 22 MR. NAGLER: Yes, sir. 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: Did you have something 24 you're itching to say?

```
MR. NAGLER: I just wanted to describe the --
 1
      why we have the waivers at all. I guess the -- why we
 2.
      have this list of waivers. Specifically in the state
      regs and the local regs it's part of the application,
 5
      and the regs have a very common sense definition.
      "Waiver" means an exception from use, dimensional, or
      other restriction of local requirements and regulations
      granted to a project or a comprehensive permit.
               And the waiver itself is not -- it should help
      inform the board's decision in terms of looking at how
10
11
      much it varies from the local requirements. It's not,
12
      in and of itself -- the critical factor in making a
13
      determination is -- are imposing the requirements in
14
      the form of conditions, literally imposing zoning
1.5
      regulations and other regulations reasonable in view of
16
      the regional need for low and moderate income housing.
17
      So it's not like you kind of total it up and say, okay,
      there are twenty waivers here, so we're going to deny a
18
      number and five are granted. It helps inform the
19
20
      board's decision, and it's required by the regulations,
21
      but it's one of many factors the board can consider.
               MR. JESSE GELLER: Anybody have questions for
22
23
      Mr. Nagler?
24
               (No audible response.)
```

1 Thank you. 2 Mr. Geller? 3 MR. JOE GELLER: I just wanted to respond to 4 something the commissioner said. He said -- he was 5 wondering whether we made any changes to the parking that would have changed the setbacks or made them more 6 nonconforming, and I don't believe we've done that, so 8 hopefully that makes it a simpler process. MR. KINDERMANS: We actually have one. 10 MR. JOE GELLER: We have one? 11 MR. KINDERMANS: Yes. 12 MR. JOE GELLER: Sorry. We have one. 13 work with the building commissioner on that. 14 MR. KINDERMANS: It's actually -- we're 15 parking now in this setback in this corner. There 16 didn't used to be parking in that setback. And that's 17 the only additional waiver, that parking 18 reconfiguration. 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: And that's driven by the 20 turn-around. 21 MR. BENNETT: Mr. Chairman, I did miss one 22 step in my review. The applicant has requested an 23 entire waiver, a blanket waiver, of 509, which is our 24 design review section. And in my review of 509, there

were four sections that I think the board might want to 1 2 give careful consideration to, whether they do or do not waive specific sections. So we've got 509.3.C.3B, which is landscaping. 5 I think the applicant, more likely than not, prior to any conclusion of this hearing, will end up submitting 6 some sort of final landscaping plan that would end up 8 being reviewed, so the board might want to consider keeping that Section 509 on the table. 509.3.C.6 is transportation studies. 10 11 been a lot of discussion with respect to transportation, mitigation, traffic. Our peer reviewer 12 13 has identified several areas of mitigation, and the 14 board might want to carefully consider whether they 1.5 want to waive a section with respect to the traffic 16 studies, whether it be a complete waiver or if, at some 17 point in time, the board deems they want to go forward 18 with the application, address it in a condition. 19 they might want to just think about waiving that 20 section because there is a lot of traffic concerns that 21 were raised by the peer reviewer and I wouldn't want 22 the board to do a blanket waiver on the 509. We've got 23 traffic and mitigation issues on the other side. 24 509.4.F is stormwater drainage. There's been

- 1 no request to waive that provision of our town bylaw.
- 2 And in the section of the zoning bylaw, it does
- 3 specifically reference that provision of our general
- 4 bylaw with respect to stormwater and drainage.
- 5 And lastly, 5.09.4.G is utility service. That
- 6 essentially indicates that utilities will come into the
- 7 site, the property, underground, so there will be no
- 8 overhead wires or anything of that nature.
- 9 So those are just four areas when I was
- 10 reviewing 509 that I thought the board might want to
- 11 give careful consideration to.
- 12 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you.
- 13 MR. SCHWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, could I just
- 14 comment on that?
- 15 MR. JESSE GELLER: Sure.
- 16 MR. SCHWARTZ: We see 509 in its entirety as
- more a procedural requirement than a substantive
- 18 requirement. We certainly have no issue, and we've
- 19 presented, as the board knows, very detailed
- stormwater, traffic, and landscaping and other plans
- 21 that the building commissioner was just referencing.
- But 5.09 in its entirety is a design review
- 23 process which is superseded by 40B itself. And it was
- 24 really out of an abundance of caution or conservatism

- 1 that we included this waiver in its entirety, so we're
- 2 not taking issue with what the building commissioner
- 3 says in terms of, you know, the requirement that we
- 4 have presented and that the board approve or
- 5 appropriately condition on the landscaping, the
- 6 traffic, all the things that we've been discussing.
- 7 But in terms of the process itself, we thought 5.09 is
- 8 appropriate, to the extent that 5.09 even applies at
- 9 all, that it should be a, more or less, blanket waiver
- 10 and that's why we did it as such.
- 11 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you.
- 12 I'd like to give the board an opportunity to
- 13 at least revisit its discussion, given the proposal
- that's been provided, just see if anybody has any
- 15 further comments, further thoughts as you've seen the
- 16 changes that they've made in response to last week's
- 17 hearing.
- 18 MR. HUSSEY: No, I don't have any further
- 19 comments at this time.
- 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Do you have any questions
- 21 about it?
- MR. HUSSEY: No.
- MR. BOOK: I don't either. We discussed, last
- 24 week, the removal of 10 parking spaces from the west

- 1 side and 21 from the east side and they've done that.
- 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay.
- 3 MR. ZUROFF: That's fine. I concur. They've
- 4 addressed the concerns that were raised last week.
- 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: I assumed that was your
- 6 meaning from your comment before.
- 7 Next week's hearing, as I mentioned when we
- 8 first started, is an opportunity for Mr. Bennett to
- 9 finish his review of the waiver requests. He will then
- 10 hopefully come back and present to us any further
- 11 comments that he has.
- 12 I'd like to call on Edie Netter to sort of run
- 13 through, broadly, next steps.
- 14 MS. NETTER: Well, as we've discussed, staff
- 15 received a set of revised plans and needs some time to
- 16 review those plans, and staff will make a determination
- as to whether the plan set is incomplete or complete.
- 18 If it's complete or incomplete, they'll let the board
- 19 know on the 15th.
- 20 And also, we need to receive the letter from
- 21 the fire chief reviewing his plans. And I don't know
- 22 if the director of engineering will need to -- or want
- 23 to comment. And I don't know if we'll get any other
- departmental comments on the plans, but we'll wait for

1 that. 2 And then we'll need to make a determination as to whether you have all the information that you need, namely, at this juncture, the complete plan set and 5 comments, relevant comments on that plan set. If, in fact, you determine you have all the 6 information that you need, my recommendation would be, at that juncture, to close the hearing. Oh, you also want to talk -- perhaps -- there will be a discussion 10 also about waivers. I forgot that. 11 If, in fact, staff determines that the plan set is incomplete, then my recommendation would be to 12 13 keep the hearing open just for comments -- just for the 14 incomplete items to be submitted as staff comments on 15 those items as they're submitted. So I think we would make that assessment, if you agree, at the next 16 17 hearing. 18 Staff has also been very extensively working on preparing a draft set of conditions for you to 19 20 consider, and there's been -- and those conditions were 21 proposed not out of thin air, of course, but rather 22 based on your comments, a review of the entire 23 transcript, a review of all the evidence that you've 24 presented, including all the letters from the

neighbors, the letters from the staff, boards, 1 2 commissions, everybody, pretty much. It's been as thorough a review as I think there can be, but you'll make the determination as to whether you find it 5 acceptable or not. So the thought would be that we would -- we 6 need to also have -- I want to make sure we have a complete and thorough legal review of the conditions, and then we would present a package on the 15th for -and also it will be posted on the town website as 10 11 well. 12 At which point there will be no more new 13 evidence, and then there would be the commencement of 14 the 40-day period during which you would have a chance 15 to review those draft conditions and make whatever deletions, additions, whatever you thought. There 16 17 would be a couple of public meetings -- not hearings, but public meetings -- during which you can deliberate 18 19 and look at the draft. And then ultimately, prior to the close of 20 21 that 40-day period, my recommendation would be that you 22 would render a decision on the project. 23 MR. BOOK: Let me ask a question. 24 MS. NETTER: Yes, if I'm not clear or you

- 1 don't agree, absolutely.
- 2 MR. BOOK: During the 40-day period when you
- 3 say there's a public meeting, is it just an opportunity
- 4 for the board members to discuss the conditions? We're
- 5 not taking any additional testimony, I take it.
- 6 MS. NETTER: I'm sorry. I got a note in the
- 7 mean time.
- 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: Perfect timing. The 18th
- 9 will be --
- MS. STEINFELD: The 15th.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: The 15th, the next hearing,
- 12 will be an opportunity for the public to offer its
- 13 testimony to what has been presented since the last
- opportunity. So, again, you will be offered an
- opportunity to provide testimony.
- MS. NETTER: I'm sorry.
- MR. BOOK: So with that, is there any other --
- 18 whether from the public or the applicant, there's no
- 19 further -- during the 40-day period, there's no further
- 20 testimony from --
- 21 MS. NETTER: Correct. The most critical thing
- 22 is that next Monday night that you make a final and
- 23 complete determination that you have received all of
- 24 the evidence that you need in order to make a decision.

- 1 The answer to your question is yes.
- 2 MR. BOOK: Okay. So as we're in the 40-day
- 3 period discussing and going through the conditions, for
- 4 example, and we have a question --
- 5 MS. NETTER: I would encourage you to ask Sam
- 6 or me or staff.
- 7 MR. BOOK: So we're allowed to ask questions
- 8 of staff? We're allowed to --
- 9 MS. NETTER: Yes. Just fact, clarification
- 10 questions.
- MR. BOOK: Okay. But not of the applicant?
- MS. NETTER: No.
- 13 MR. NAGLER: There's no advocacy during the
- 14 40-day period.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: It's post-testimony phase.
- MS. NETTER: So hopefully -- I know you've
- been doing this all along, but hopefully you'll have
- 18 reviewed as much as you need to have reviewed before
- 19 the close of the hearing if you have other questions
- 20 for the applicant.
- MR. BOOK: Okay.
- MR. HUSSEY: The question I have -- if that
- 23 40-day period includes the writing up and the filing of
- the decision as well.

```
In fact, the regulation provides
 1
               MS. NETTER:
      an additional 14 days, but I would recommend that we
 2
      try to wrap everything up within the 40 days.
               MR. HUSSEY: And how long is writing that
 5
      opinion and filing it going to take? How long do we
      have to deliberate and work over conditions --
 6
               MS. NETTER: You have 40 days to do that, but
      you will be presented with a package of draft
      conditions that reflect the entire public hearing
10
      process, so you will not start with a blank -- and so
11
      you'll be much further ahead than the typical process.
12
               MR. HUSSEY: I understand that, but we still
13
      cannot take the entire 40 days discussing those
14
      conditions. We've got to decide at some point so there
1.5
      is time to write the decision and file it. Is that not
16
      correct? Do you understand what I'm saying?
17
               MS. NETTER. The decision -- let's say you
      want to meet twice or three times, as often as you want
18
19
      to meet. After every meeting, we will make revisions
20
      based on your comments, so all through the 40 days,
21
      there will be revisions to this decision as you want to
      have them made.
22
23
               MR. HUSSEY: So we can take the full 40 days
24
      to do that, then. And then there will be 14 days after
```

1 that --2 MS. NETTER: Let's not extend. Let's try to work within that 40-day framework. You are well ahead of the curve compared to other 40B processes in terms 5 of -- this should be ample time for you to complete your work unless you all of a sudden see things in a 6 completely different way than what you've articulated over the last year. MR. HUSSEY: All right. Thank you. 10 MS. NETTER: And if necessary, we would 11 request an extension of that 40 days from the applicant, but I think you'll have enough time. 12 MR. HUSSEY: All right. Thank you, Edith. 13 14 MR. ZUROFF: I just have a clarification 15 question. As far as conditions, restrictions, 16 recommendations, are we going to get some kind of legal 17 quideline or -- I'd like to know what the limitations 18 of our position or conditions and restrictions might be and a distinguishment between conditions and 19 20 restrictions, because we talked about both during our 21 process. So I'd like to have some kind of an outline 22 of what exactly we can impose, what we should be 23 considering, how it's going to be incorporated into the 24 decision.

```
1
               MR. NAGLER: We can prepare a memo on this.
 2
               MR. ZUROFF: For instance, we talked about
      restricting the use of the green space going forward.
               MS. NETTER:
                            You can do that, but it might be
 5
      more useful to review the draft and let us know what
      conditions -- what questions you have about the draft
 6
      because then you have a better idea --
               (Multiple parties speaking.)
               MR. ZUROFF: We'll look at the list that
      you've accumulated.
10
11
               (Multiple parties speaking.)
12
               MR. JESSE GELLER: I think Mr. Zuroff's
13
      question is more specific than the sort of broader
14
      theoretical -- he's asking a very specific question,
15
      which is -- he's commented -- there's been testimony --
      we've commented on it -- that should we decide in favor
16
17
      of this application, then one thing we would want would
      be that, for instance, there be no further improvements
18
19
      within the green space. And we've also had discussions
20
      about the mechanism to achieve that. Obviously, one of
21
      the conditions -- and I think what Mr. Zuroff is very
22
      specifically asking is would counsel recommend --
23
               MS. NETTER: Let me short circuit that because
24
      the applicant has already agreed, as I understand it,
```

```
to a restriction. And in the draft there is both a
 1
 2
      substantive zoning condition as well as a requirement
      that there be a restriction.
               MR. ZUROFF: Which is fine.
                                            That gets to the
 5
      gist of my question, is how it's imposed. When do we
      determine that?
 6
               MR. NAGLER: During this 40-day period.
               (Multiple parties speaking.)
 9
               MR. ZUROFF: The legal form.
               MS. NETTER: What form it should take.
10
11
               MR. NAGLER: What I envision -- I haven't seen
      the draft -- is condition, you know, number X, further
12
13
      development restricted, et cetera, et cetera.
14
      Condition number Y, the applicant will execute a
      recordable restriction in favor of the --
1.5
16
               (Multiple parties speaking.)
17
               MS. NETTER: That's what it says.
18
               MR. NAGLER: And then that document will speak
      for itself, have a life of its own, if you will.
19
20
               MR. ZUROFF:
                            Thank you.
21
               MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Mr. Abner?
               MR. ABNER: That list of conditions will be
22
23
     public?
24
               MS. NETTER:
                            Yes.
```

1 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yes. 2 MR. ABNER: And will be on the website? 3 MS. NETTER: Yes. 4 MR. ABNER: And what's the timing for that, 5 please? MS. NETTER: Hopefully -- but I can't promise 6 at this juncture because we have to finish up all of 8 the review, but --MS. STEINFELD: Assuming that we receive -the draft conditions are submitted on the 15th, we'll 10 11 have it on the website the morning of the 16th. 12 MR. ABNER: And the waivers that have been 13 submitted are not yet the final waivers? Did I hear 14 that correctly? Or are these the final list of 15 waivers? 16 MS. NETTER: My understanding is there's some 17 changes to be made. 18 MR. ABNER: And that will be finalized by ... 19 MS. NETTER: You have to ask the applicant. 20 MR. LEVIN: It sounds as though we will be 21 working with Mr. Bennett, and that will get resolved 22 within the next few days. 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: So they will formalize it 24 within the next few days, and then what would happen is

```
at next week's hearing we would hope to have what is a
 1
 2
      final waiver ask. I assume it will be posted. Yes?
               MS. STEINFELD: Yes.
               MR. JESSE GELLER: I don't know what time,
 5
      but --
 6
               MS. STEINFELD: As soon as possible.
               MR. JESSE GELLER: As soon as possible.
               MS. KOOCHER: One other question.
 9
      application that's on record, is that the June plan and
      all of these are things that would be conditioned to
10
11
      get to this plan because the only plan that's been
12
      submitted is the original June plan?
13
               MS. NETTER: This plan set that they have
14
      presented today that we don't yet have in electronic
1.5
      form -- which is why it's not posted -- is the plan
16
      set, and that is how the decision will be written.
17
               MS. KOOCHER: This will replace the other
18
      one?
19
               MR. JESSE GELLER:
                                  Right.
20
               MS. KOOCHER:
                             Thank you.
21
               MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Hussey?
22
               MR. HUSSEY: I think what the audience was
23
      getting to relative to the set of conditions and the
24
      date when that would be ready is will there be a draft
```

set of conditions available for them to see prior to 1 2 the closing of the public hearing? MS. STEINFELD: No. MR. HUSSEY: Okay. No. We're told no. 5 MS. STEINFELD: The public will get them within hours of when the ZBA gets them. 6 MR. HUSSEY: Will we be getting it before next 8 Monday's meeting? MS. STEINFELD: No. It won't be done. 10 MR. HUSSEY: Okay. We will get them next 11 Monday, then, the beginning of the hearing, 12 presumably. And at that point it will be on the 13 website? 14 MS. STEINFELD: No. The next morning. 1.5 MR. HUSSEY: The next morning. So their 16 chance to comment publicly will be after they see the 17 list of draft conditions, not before. 18 MS. NETTER: If you follow the next steps that I have outlined -- which is within your discretion to 19 20 do or not do -- they would not have a chance to 21 comment. 22 This process has been an unusually long one 23 and a very, very -- not just long in terms of time, but

number of hearings. And hopefully at the next hearing

24

- 1 the public will once again have an opportunity to make
- 2 comments. There will be nothing new in those
- 3 conditions that has not been -- unless you all of a
- 4 sudden do something new -- that hasn't been discussed
- 5 before.
- 6 MR. HUSSEY: Well, I'm sure they've been
- 7 discussed before, but the list of conditions will be
- 8 the concrete conditions. There's been a lot said by
- 9 peer review, by the neighbors and what have you, and
- 10 they won't see whether all of that will be in the
- 11 conditions or not until the conditions are drafted.
- MS. NETTER: That's correct.
- 13 MR. JESSE GELLER: Right. The notion --
- MR. HUSSEY: Do you have a problem with that,
- 15 Mr. Chairman?
- 16 MR. JESSE GELLER: The notion is that the
- 17 conditions would be, obviously, subject to the
- discussion by the board, whether that's one hearing,
- 19 two hearings, three hearings, however many we need --
- MS. STEINFELD: Meetings.
- 21 MR. JESSE GELLER: Meetings, sorry -- but that
- 22 they would not be the subject to further evidentiary
- 23 testimony by anyone.
- MR. HUSSEY: I understand that, and that's why

I'm raising the question. 1 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: So it's not limited -- I want to be clear. It's not limited to the neighborhood. This will then transfer over to 5 discussion by the board --MR. HUSSEY: I understand that. 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: -- on the theory that the testimony that has been submitted has been sufficient. 8 Do I have an issue with it? No, I do not have an issue with it, because I would hope that within the 10 11 course of what has generated those conditions, that we 12 have -- you know, we have the underlying testimony from 13 which the conditions have been generated, and our job 14 would be to review the sources and make a determination 15 about whether we think those conditions accurately reflect the concerns that have been addressed in the 16 17 testimony, whatever the source. 18 MR. HUSSEY: No. I understand that, 19 Mr. Chairman. I'm a little uneasy, quite frankly, 20 nevertheless. 21 Do either of you fellow members -- do you understand what I'm asking? Do you have any problems 22 23 with it, with the procedures? 24 MR. ZUROFF: I understand your concern, Chris.

I think that the public and everybody else that's 1 interested has had a chance to enunciate their 2 concerns. We've discussed all of these conditions in open hearings, and what we're going to do is see a list 5 of them. So we're not going to see a list of anything that we haven't already heard or considered. So I 6 understand your concern, that you want the public to be able to comment on them, but they already have. MR. BOOK: I agree. And just as the public 10 won't have an opportunity to comment on them, neither 11 will the applicant. The conditions are for our 12 consideration drawn over the year's worth of testimony. 13 It really, I think, is for this group to vet. 14 MR. JESSE GELLER: It's a crazy process. It's 15 not a 40A process, which is what we're all used to. MR. HUSSEY: I understand. 16 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: Anything else, 18 administratively or otherwise? 19 MR. ABNER: I just have one question. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Sure, Mr. Abner. 21 MR. ABNER: So can we make suggestions about conditions? 22

MR. JESSE GELLER:

Absolutely.

MR. ABNER: Then who should those be submitted

23

24

1 to? 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yes, absolutely, and I want 3 to thank you for that suggestion. MR. ABNER: And when? 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: You can obviously submit them in writing, but you could also provide them in 6 your oral testimony, should you choose, next week. 8 Obviously, we would prefer to see it in writing. That's particularly helpful. You would have to do it 9 by next week's hearing. 10 11 MS. NETTER: It's much better to have them in 12 writing if at all possible. 13 MR. JESSE GELLER: Just so you understand the 14 methodology, in coming up with the list, if you will, 15 we are looking through all of the testimony. And therefore -- obviously, if you provide us testimony, 16 17 it's being kept -- you know, it's being transcribed. But it's nice if we can get it in your -- sort of in 18 19 your words the way you want it written. Thank you. 20 Okay. Having nothing else, believe it or not 21 we will close this hearing at 8:15. It will be 22 actually continued until next week, the 15th, at 23 7:00 p.m. Thank you, everyone. 24 (Proceedings suspended at 8:17 p.m.)

```
I, Kristen C. Krakofsky, Court Reporter and
 1
      Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of
 2
      Massachusetts, certify:
               That the foregoing proceedings were taken
      before me at the time and place therein set forth and
 5
      that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of
 6
      my shorthand notes so taken.
               I further certify that I am not a relative or
 9
      employee of any of the parties, nor am I financially
10
      interested in the action.
11
               I declare under penalty of perjury that the
12
      foregoing is true and correct.
13
               Dated this 18th day of December, 2014.
14
      Kristen Krakofsky, Notary Public
15
      My commission expires November 3, 2017.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

	I	I	1
A	addressed	2:1	35:16
able	34:4 47:16	applicable	Associate
4:12,22 5:8 6:2,17	administratively	23:21	2:6
24:11 25:12 26:22	48:18	applicant	Associates
48:8	advocacy	3:8 9:1 24:16 25:4	2:11
Abner	38:13	26:18,21 28:2,4	assume
42:21,22 43:2,4,12,18	afternoon	30:22 31:5 37:18	9:16 15:24 26:12 44:2
48:19,20,21,24 49:4	8:21 24:10	38:11,20 40:12 41:24	assumed
absolutely	aggregate	42:14 43:19 48:11	34:5
37:1 48:23 49:2	21:9	application	Assuming
abundance	agree	1:7 7:8 24:8 28:13	43:9
32:24	35:16 37:1 48:9	29:4 31:18 41:17	attributed
	agreed	44:9	21:2
abutting 5:6,16 16:15 19:16	8:8 23:23 25:16 41:24	applied	audible
	agreement	28:9	23:10 28:19 29:24
acceptable 36:5	6:5	applies	audience
	ahead	33:8	44:22
access	39:11 40:3	apply	available
5:10 6:2,18 7:16	air	28:10	45:1
accommodate	35:21	appropriate	average
10:7	aligning	33:8	16:1 21:23
accomplish	13:8	appropriately	averaged
3:23 26:23	Allison	33:5	16:7
accumulated	2:8	approve	aware
41:10	allow	33:4	22:8
accurately	6:24	area	22.0
47:15	allowed	6:3,5 14:20,20 15:18	В
achieve	12:9 14:19 38:7,8	19:22 25:23	B
41:20	amount	areas	4:6
action	14:13	22:6,10,12 23:18	back
50:10	ample	25:20 26:2 31:13	5:11,23 6:6 13:1 21:13
actual	40:5	32:9	27:12 34:10
10:6,15	and/or	articulated	based
add	25:22	5:21 40:7	3:16 9:3,11,14 10:22
5:13 24:23 25:24	answer	asked	11:3,3,5 35:22 39:20
added	8:9 23:10 26:6 38:1	12:12,14 17:17 25:4	basically
15:2	anybody	asking	11:18 19:22
addition	28:18 29:22 33:14	14:3 17:11 19:10,15	basis
25:2		20:18,22 41:14,22	9:24
additional	Anyway 18:20	47:22	beginning
4:14,23 14:17 30:17	apartment	asks	45:11
37:5 39:2	5:19	27:5	believe
additions	Appeals		4:10 6:12 8:20 19:1,3
36:16	Appeais 1:5	aspect 5:20	25:11 30:7 49:20
address		assessment	Bennett
31:18	Appearances	assessment	
	l	l	l

2.16.22.12.11.21			172112712
2:16 23:13,14,24	build	call	1:7 2:14 3:5 4:3
24:2 26:12,15,17	25:5,6 27:23	3:10 23:11 34:12	chief
27:15 28:1,8 30:21	building	car	3:11 5:20,24 6:18
34:8 43:21	2:16 5:1,19 6:4 7:10	17:7	34:21
best	7:11,16,19 9:5,17	care	choose
13:4	11:15 13:3,9 15:9,13	11:23	49:7
better	15:14,18,19,20,23	careful	chose
5:5 41:7 49:11	16:1,2,5,6,7,12,17,19	31:2 32:11	11:18
beyond	16:20 18:12 20:5,14	carefully	Chris
17:3	20:20,22 21:6,9,24	31:14	2:5 3:5 22:23 47:24
biggest	22:16,17,24 23:12	carry	circle
24:13	24:5,15,18,24 26:9	3:18	4:22
bit	27:12,13 30:13 32:21	case	circuit
20:12,13	33:2	1:6 16:10 23:22	41:23
blank	buildings	categories	clapboard-type
39:10	5:4,13 7:13,13,22,24	9:14 11:7,17,21	5:5
blanket	8:4 10:8 12:1 13:22	category	clarification
30:23 31:22 33:9	13:24 14:2,4,7 15:2	18:22	24:3 26:7 38:9 40:14
Bluestein	16:18 18:2,10,13	caution	clarify
2:9	20:2,24 21:1 24:14	32:24	27:16
board	27:9	certainly	clear
1:5 2:2 3:10 4:2 8:24	bylaw	24:21 32:18	6:20 18:9 36:24 47:3
9:9,12 29:21 31:1,8	9:23 10:12,14,14	certify	clearly
31:14,17,22 32:10,19	12:15 16:23 17:10	50:3,8	5:18
33:4,12 34:18 37:4	18:14 20:3 32:1,2,4	cetera	close
46:18 47:5	bylaws	6:22 42:13,13	6:7 12:24 13:8,16,19
boards	24:9 27:5,20	Chairman	16:10 21:13 35:8
36:1	Byzantine	2:3 4:2 8:23 23:14	36:20 38:19 49:21
board's	13:13	30:21 32:13 46:15	closer
10:7 29:10,20		47:19	14:3 21:21
Book	C	chance	closest
2:4 3:6 19:8,9,14,18	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	36:14 45:16,20 48:2	16:12,14,15 20:9,11
20:1,16,21 22:3	1:21 50:1	change	close-up
33:23 36:23 37:2,17	calculate	7:3,7 24:13	6:23
38:2,7,11,21 48:9	15:18,19 16:14 24:18	changed	closing
Boston	calculated	30:6	45:2
1:17	16:8 26:10	changes	column
brick	calculates	3:13,16 4:5,9,18 10:6	24:19
5:4,14 7:15,21,23,23	15:16 18:14	17:24 30:5 33:16	come
5.4,14 7.13,21,23,23 broader	calculating	43:17	24:22 27:10 32:6
41:13	27:7	charitable	34:10
broadly	calculation	10:13	comes
3:23 34:13	18:1 22:24 27:11	cheat	21:11,13
Brookline	calculations	11:12	comfort
1:5,8,12 10:13 16:3	27:3	Chestnut	5:21
1.3,0,12 10.13 10.3		Chesthat	J.41
	l	l	I

	1	1	1
coming	31:20 34:4 47:16 48:3	consistency	5:10,22 6:13 12:6 15:9
49:14	conclusion	24:8	critical
commencement	31:6	consistent	11:23 29:12 37:21
36:13	concrete	5:15 28:12	cul-de-sac
comment	46:8	construct	5:22
23:15 32:14 34:6,23	concur	25:7	current
45:16,21 48:8,10	34:3	Consulting	9:3
commented	condition	2:12,13 11:10	curve
27:8 41:15,16	31:18 33:5 42:2,12,14	context	40:4
comments	conditioned	23:22	
3:11 23:12 26:14	44:10	continue	D
33:15,19 34:11,24	conditions	3:14	Dan
35:5,5,13,14,22	29:14 35:19,20 36:8	continued	2:16
39:20 46:2	36:15 37:4 38:3 39:6	3:4 49:22	date
commission	39:9,14 40:15,18,19	conversations	44:24
50:16	41:6,21 42:22 43:10	26:19	dated
commissioner	44:23 45:1,17 46:3,7	convoluted	17:19 22:24 50:13
2:16 9:5,17 11:15	46:8,11,11,17 47:11	10:14 13:12	day
23:12 30:4,13 32:21	47:13,15 48:3,11,22	corner	50:13
33:2	configuration	21:13 30:15	days
commissions	10:2	Corporation	39:2,3,7,13,20,23,24
36:2	configurations	1:15	40:11 43:22,24
common	21:2	correct	deadline
29:5	configured	23:2,3 27:7,14,24	26:21
Commonwealth	14:17	37:21 39:16 46:12	deals
50:2	confirm	50:6,12	16:22
compared	17:18	corrected	December
40:4	conformity	18:19	1:9 3:20 17:20,22 18:7
complete	6:16	correctly	18:17,20 19:3 23:6
4:11,13 23:17 24:12	conforms	43:14	50:13
25:19 26:13 31:16	6:21	correlate	decide
34:17,18 35:4 36:8	confusing	5:5	39:14 41:16
37:23 40:5	20:9	counsel	decision
completely	connected	1:10 9:1 41:22	29:10,20 36:22 37:24
24:12 28:15 40:7	14:24	couple	38:24 39:15,17,21
complicated	conservatism	6:10 17:16 36:17	40:24 44:16
10:13 11:11 15:17	32:24	course	declare
16:4	consider	9:15 35:21 47:11	50:11
comprehensive	29:21 31:8,14 35:20	Court	deems
29:8	consideration	50:1	31:17
concept	31:2 32:11 48:12	crazy	defined
4:6	considered	48:14	5:19
concern	48:6	create	definition
23:21 47:24 48:7	considering	4:23 9:22 12:5 14:1	6:20 29:5
concerns	40:23	created	deletions

36:16 6:17 director entrance 2:8 34:22 driven deliberate 8:2 21:15 30:19 36:18 39:6 discretion enunciate density 45:19 drives 48:2 11:19 14:10 15:6 discuss 20:4 envision denv 4:10 37:4 drop 42:11 29:18 21:14 discussed error 24:22 25:11 33:23 department drop-off 24:17 17:18 24:5 34:14 46:4.7 48:3 21:19 Esquire departmental discussing due 2:9,10,15 34:24 4:6 33:6 38:3 39:13 12:20 essentially describe discussion dumbing 25:3 32:6 29:1 3:15.17.21 8:17 31:11 27:1 et 33:13 35:9 46:18 6:22 42:13,13 design \mathbf{E} 5:20 11:19 12:15,16 47:5 evening east 30:24 32:22 discussions 3:3 4:9 11:9 5:7,10 7:6,9,21 9:21 desires 41:19 event 9:23 12:5 34:1 4:14 9:13 10:7 distance 6:1,10 Edie detail 14:4 17:2 19:10 20:12 eventually 34:12 17:15 24:18.20 15:22 **Edith** detailed distinguishment everybody 2:10.11 40:13 32:19 40:19 9:9 36:2 48:1 effectively evidence details district 11:13 12:9,10 14:2,11,12,14 35:23 36:13 37:24 9:18 egress determination districts evidentiary 5:8 25:5 29:13 34:16 35:2 26:3 46:22 either 36:4 37:23 47:14 diversity exactly 6:18 23:19 24:21 22:20 40:22 determine 8:3 33:23 47:21 35:6 42:6 document example electronic determines 42:18 10:5 13:20 38:4 44:14 35:11 documents exception eliminated development 24:11 4:11 29:6 4:19 5:17 42:13 execute doing emplovee 5:8.24 7:1 27:4 38:17 difference 42:14 50:9 26:11,11 exist draft encourage different 35:19 36:15,19 39:8 18:18 38:5 17:4.8 27:11 40:7 41:5.6 42:1.12 43:10 experience ended 44:24 45:17 10:15 differently 14:2 21:20 drafted experts engineering dimension 10:11 46:11 34:22 10:8 20:10.11 27:22 drainage expires entire 31:24 32:4 dimensional 50:16 30:23 35:22 39:9,13 25:9 29:6 drawn explain entirety 48:12 11:21 direction 32:16,22 33:1 6:9,18 drive explains

14:9
explanation fashion follow 41:18 42:12 46:22 13:4 24:12 18:24 45:18 50:8 explanatory favor foot 12:18 41:16 42:15 16:20 G extend Fax footage 14:13 21:11,12,16 22:8,15 extension feet footnote 23:19 garage 4:22 40:11 14:15,16 15:15 16:2,6 18:16,21,23 19:4 garages extensive 16:21 17:2,3 18:11 22:23 22:7,9,15 23:15,18 foregoing Geller Geller extensively 21:1,8,12,16,24 50:4,6,12 2:3,12 3:3,5,24 4:2 35:18 24:21 25:1 26:8,10 forgive 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 17:21,24 18:8 19: extent fellow 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 23:4, eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 26:12,16,24 27:19 18:23 38:23 39:5
13:4
explanatory favor foot 12:18 41:16 42:15 16:20 extend Fax footage 40:2 1:18 14:13 extension feet footnote 4:22 40:11 14:15,16 15:15 16:2,6 18:16,21,23 19:4 garages extensive 16:21 17:2,3 18:11 22:23 22:7,9,15 23:15,18 10:18 18:13 20:2,7,14,18 foregoing Geller extensively 21:1,8,12,16,24 50:4,6,12 2:3,12 3:3,5,24 4:2 35:18 24:21 25:1 26:8,10 forgive 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 extent fellow 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 24:1 26: 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 28:5,17,21,23 29:
12:18
extend Fax footage garage 40:2 1:18 14:13 21:11,12,16 22:8,13 extension feet footnote 23:19 4:22 40:11 14:15,16 15:15 16:2,6 18:16,21,23 19:4 garages extensive 16:21 17:2,3 18:11 22:23 22:7,9,15 23:15,18 10:18 18:13 20:2,7,14,18 foregoing Geller extensively 21:1,8,12,16,24 50:4,6,12 2:3,12 3:3,5,24 4:2 35:18 24:21 25:1 26:8,10 forgive 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 extent fellow 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 23:4, eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 26:12,16,24 27:19 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 18:23 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
40:2 1:18 14:13 21:11,12,16 22:8,12 extension 14:15,16 15:15 16:2,6 18:16,21,23 19:4 23:19 extensive 16:21 17:2,3 18:11 22:23 22:7,9,15 23:15,18 10:18 18:13 20:2,7,14,18 foregoing Geller extensively 21:1,8,12,16,24 50:4,6,12 2:3,12 3:3,5,24 4:2 35:18 24:21 25:1 26:8,10 forgive 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 extent fellow 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 28:5,17,21,23 29:
extension feet footnote 23:19 4:22 40:11 14:15,16 15:15 16:2,6 18:16,21,23 19:4 garages extensive 16:21 17:2,3 18:11 22:23 22:7,9,15 23:15,18 10:18 18:13 20:2,7,14,18 foregoing Geller extensively 21:1,8,12,16,24 50:4,6,12 2:3,12 3:3,5,24 4:2 35:18 24:21 25:1 26:8,10 forgive 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 extent fellow 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 23:4, eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
extension 14:15,16 15:15 16:2,6 18:16,21,23 19:4 garages extensive 16:21 17:2,3 18:11 22:23 22:7,9,15 23:15,18 10:18 18:13 20:2,7,14,18 foregoing Geller extensively 21:1,8,12,16,24 50:4,6,12 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 35:18 24:21 25:1 26:8,10 forgive 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 extent fellow 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 23:4, eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
extensive 16:21 17:2,3 18:11 22:23 22:7,9,15 23:15,18 10:18 18:13 20:2,7,14,18 foregoing Geller extensively 21:1,8,12,16,24 50:4,6,12 2:3,12 3:3,5,24 4:2 35:18 24:21 25:1 26:8,10 forgive 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 extent 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 23:4, eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
10:18 18:13 20:2,7,14,18 foregoing Geller extensively 21:1,8,12,16,24 50:4,6,12 2:3,12 3:3,5,24 4:2 35:18 24:21 25:1 26:8,10 forgive 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 extent 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 23:4, eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
extensively 21:1,8,12,16,24 50:4,6,12 2:3,12 3:3,5,24 4:2 35:18 24:21 25:1 26:8,10 forgive 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 extent fellow 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 23:4, eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
35:18 24:21 25:1 26:8,10 forgive 8:12,15,18 9:2 11 extent 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely 56:10 20:19 21:23 22:4 9:8 39:15 39:15 22:11,13,20 23:4 eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
extent fellow 27:1 17:21,24 18:8 19: 3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 23:4, eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
3:15 33:8 47:21 forgot 19:9,13,15,19 20: extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 23:4, eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 38:23 39:5 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
extremely file 35:10 20:19 21:23 22:4, 9:8 39:15 form 22:11,13,20 23:4, eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
9:8
eye filing 29:14 42:9,10 44:15 23:11,20 24:1 26: 18:23 formalize 26:12,16,24 27:19 E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
18:23
E1 final 43:23 28:5,17,21,23 29:
13.23
5:12 6:13 8:1 14:22,23 9:11 10:19 31:7 37:22 forth 30:2,3,10,12,19
E2 43:13,14 44:2 50:5 32:12,15 33:11,20
5:17 6:19 finalized fortunate 34:2,5 37:8,11 38
E3 43:18 6:14 41:12 42:21 43:1,
5:22 6:23 financially forward 44:4,7,19,21 46:1
50:9 24:7,22 31:17 41:3 46:16,21 47:2,7
F find four 48:14,17,20,23 49
facade 15:20 36:4 15:14 28:10 31:1 32:9 49:5,13
8:2 fine four-story general
facades 11:16 24:2 34:3 42:4 15:14 18:12 20:6 32:3
7:11 finish frame generally
face 34:9 43:7 26:20 11:21
21:10,11 fire framework generated
fact 3:11 5:10,11,20,23,24 40:3 47:11,13
12:4 35:6,11 38:9 39:1 6:18 34:21 frankly getting
factor first 47:19 9:10 12:19 15:12
29:12 4:16,18 14:15,16 21:6 front 22:23 44:23 45:7
factors 21:19 22:4 34:8 7:10 13:1,5,7,9,10,10 gist
29:21 fit 7:10 13:13,37,9,10,10 82:5
fairly 8:7 13.11,12 14.3,0 20.2 give
10:16 11:11 five 7:12 6:17 21:7 31:2 32:1
fall 16:20 17:22 29:19 full 33:12
25:18 fixed 39:23 given
far 19:1,4 39:25 given 33:13
11.10.14.10.20.15.2.5
11:19 14:10,22 15:3,5 floor 25:11 33:15,15,18 gives

	1	1	1
13:19 20:12	Hancock	hour	income
glad	10:23 13:17 19:12	3:19	29:16
8:9	happen	hours	incomplete
go	43:24	45:6	34:17,18 35:12,14
11:20 12:16 13:20,23	happens	housing	incorporated
15:17 16:2 20:10,19	13:20	29:16	40:23
21:10 31:17	happy	huge	increase
goes	4:4 9:18 17:14 26:5	26:10	25:24
13:18	hear	Hussey	incredibly
going	9:16 10:5,8,11 11:3,14	2:5 3:6 8:13,14 17:16	10:18
4:12 9:16 12:2 13:6	43:13	17:23 18:5,15,19	Independence
17:6 21:24 25:17	heard	19:5,7 28:20 33:18	7:20
29:18 38:3 39:5	20:1 48:6	33:22 38:22 39:4,12	indicates
40:16,23 41:3 48:4,5	hearing	39:23 40:9,13 44:21	32:6
good	1:5 3:4,7,9,20 17:9	44:22 45:4,7,10,15	infill
3:3 9:7,8 11:9 13:20	26:14 31:6 33:17	46:6,14,24 47:6,18	5:4 20:2,24,24
Goulston	34:7 35:8,13,17	48:16	inform
2:15 8:24	37:11 38:19 39:9	46.10	29:10,19
	44:1 45:2,11,24	T	information
grade	, ,	idea	
21:6,13,19,20 24:20	46:18 49:10,21	41:7	4:14 35:3,7
grading	hearings	identified	initially
21:18	17:6 36:17 45:24	25:3 31:13	8:19
granted	46:19,19 48:4	ignoring	inside
29:8,19	height	16:14	13:1 21:9
Great	11:19 15:12,13,17,23		instance
26:16	16:16,21,22 18:1,1,4	images	27:7 41:2,18
greater	18:10,24 20:3,12,14	5:3,14	instances
6:7 7:17	20:23 22:24 24:14,18	important	28:8,11
green	25:10 27:7	10:20	interest
4:23,23,24 14:1 41:3	help	impose	8:3
41:19	29:9	40:22	interested
ground	helpful	imposed	48:2 50:10
18:11	3:12 9:8 49:9	42:5	interesting
group	helps	imposing	16:24
48:13	29:19	29:13,14	interior
guess	highest	improvements	12:21 13:16 16:11
29:2	20:5	41:18	interpreted
guideline	Hill	inch	19:20
40:17	1:7 2:14 3:5 4:3	15:15 20:7	interprets
	hope	included	20:3
H	3:23 44:1 47:10	33:1	isles
half	hopefully	includes	26:2
5:4,4 16:21	3:18 9:11 11:12 21:21	23:18 38:23	issue
hammerhead	30:8 34:10 38:16,17	including	15:6 18:24 28:9 32:18
5:18,20 6:21	43:6 45:24	35:24	33:2 47:9,10
	l	I	I

issues	49:17	leave	listed
9:7 14:9 25:10 31:23	kidding	10:19	23:19
itching	15:21	left	lists
28:24	kind	3:5	24:6
items	11:22 13:12 14:8	legal	literally
35:14,15	16:22,23 26:22 29:17	36:8 40:16 42:9	29:14
iterations	40:16,21	LegaLink	little
24:6	Kindermans	1:15	4:23 5:14 6:3 7:21 8:3
	2:13 30:9,11,14	legitimate	20:12,13 21:20 47:19
J	knew	27:5,17,18,19	live
Jesse	4:12	letter	17:7
2:3 3:3,5,24 8:12,15	know	34:20	local
8:18 17:21 19:6,8	10:10 11:2 19:23	letters	29:4,7,11
22:4,11,20 23:4,8,11	21:18 33:3 34:19,21	35:24 36:1	long
23:20 24:1 26:12,16	34:23 38:16 40:17	let's	39:4,5 45:22,23
26:24 27:19,24 28:5	41:5 42:12 44:4	4:16 39:17 40:2,2	look
28:17,21,23 29:22	47:12 49:17	level	4:16 7:16 11:18 19:2
30:19 32:12,15 33:11	knowing	21:16,17	24:15 25:4 28:3
33:20 34:2,5 37:8,11	25:17	Levin	36:19 41:9
38:15 41:12 42:21	knows	2:14 4:2,3 8:16 18:4	looked
43:1,23 44:4,7,19,21	32:19	21:5 22:2 43:20	28:1,3
46:13,16,21 47:2,7	KOOCHER	life	looking
48:14,17,20,23 49:2	44:8,17,20	42:19	6:7 13:10 15:4 16:1
49:5,13	Krakofsky	lifted	20:21 21:18 29:10
job	1:21 50:1,15	23:16	49:15
47:13	Kristen	likewise	lose
Joe	1:21 50:1,15	7:17	6:11
9:2,13 10:9 11:6,9,9	Krokidas	limitations	lost
17:24 18:8 19:13,15	2:9	40:17	5:9,24 6:9,19 7:1,8
19:19 20:7,19 21:23		limited	lot
22:9,13 30:3,10,12	L	47:2,3	4:21 5:8,12,18 6:8,13
Jonathan	landscaping	Lincoln	6:16 8:7 9:21,21,24
2:4 3:6	31:4,7 32:20 33:5	1:16	10:2,22 11:4,24 12:2
Joseph	language	line	13:4,6,10,16,16 14:4
2:12	23:16	12:2,3,21,23 13:1,6,10	14:10,12,18,24 15:1
juncture	Largely	13:16,17 15:19 16:11	15:7,9,19 16:11,11
35:4,8 43:7	3:15	16:11 24:20	17:1,8 19:11 21:2
June	lastly	lines	22:18 24:20 31:11,20
44:9,12	32:5	11:4	46:8
	late	list	lots
K	24:10	10:15,17,18 17:20	9:20,22 12:5 16:24
keep	lawn	22:5 24:5,13,24 25:3	17:4 23:16
35:13	6:17	26:19 28:2 29:3 41:9	low
keeping	lease	42:22 43:14 45:17	29:16
31:9	12:2,3,21,23	46:7 48:4,5 49:14	lower
kept		101.101.10	- ··
	I	I	

T			
21:16	mechanism	10:17	34:15
lowest	41:20	modification	neighborhood
15:20 16:5 20:10	meet	24:23	11:2,5 19:12 47:4
L-shaped	39:18,19	modifications	neighbors
7:16	meeting	25:14	36:1 46:9
7.10	25:14 37:3 39:19 45:8	modified	neither
M	23.14 37.3 39.19 43.8 meetings	5:3 14:8	48:10
M	36:17,18 46:20,21	moment	Netter
2:10,11	Member	9:2	2:10,11 18:18 27:16
main	2:6	Monday	27:21 34:12,14 36:24
5:18 22:16	members	3:9 4:9 37:22 45:11	37:6,16,21 38:5,9,12
major	2:2 4:2 8:24 37:4	3.9 4.9 37.22 43.11 Monday's	38:16 39:1,7,17 40:2
15:13	47:21	45:8	40:10 41:4,23 42:10
making		morning	42:17,24 43:3,6,16
29:12	memo 25:2 41:1	4:5 43:11 45:14,15	42:17,24 43:3,6,16 43:19 44:13 45:18
manageable	mentioned		46:12 49:11
10:17	5:7 7:1 8:6 27:11 34:7	morph 3:17	nevertheless
Marc			
2:14 3:24 4:3 9:4,18	Merrill	move 7:19 8:10	47:20
marching	1:15		new
3:10	methodologies	moved	4:4 9:4 25:24 36:12
Mark	27:6,8	6:4 14:7 24:7	46:2,4
2:6 3:6	methodology	multifamily	nice
Massachusetts	27:2,9 49:14	12:8,9	49:18
1:12,17 50:3	midrise	Multiple	night
material	20:5 21:4 22:8 26:8	41:8,11 42:8,16	37:22
5:5	27:12	M.5	nine
materials	minimum	14:11,11,14,18 15:7	5:17 6:19
7:18	14:12 18:22	N	nonconforming
matter	minute	Nagler	30:7
20:3	10:9	2:9 22:22 28:21,22	north
	missed		5:19 21:5
mean 37:7	28:4	29:1,23 38:13 41:1	northeast
	missing	42:7,11,18	21:10
meaning	28:15	nature	Notary
23:22 34:6	mitigation	32:8 NCD	50:2,15
means	31:12,13,23	· =	note
25:6 29:6	mix	11:19 12:13	8:18 37:6
meant	7:22	necessary	notes
27:21	mixed	3:15 27:23 40:10	50:7
measure	8:1	need	notice
18:11	mixture	6:4 15:10 20:4 21:1	6:14 8:1
measured	7:17,17	22:18 29:16 34:20,22	notion
18:12	moderate	35:2,3,7 36:7 37:24	46:13,16
measurements	29:16	38:18 46:19	November
23:4	modest	needs	23:1 50:16

number	37:24	6:2	4:4,6,10,12,17,17 7:4
1:6 10:1,4,16 11:17	orders	part	9:3,4,11 10:6 12:22
14:13 17:12 18:3,16	3:10	24:23 25:8,21 29:4	13:23 15:12 22:24
18:21 24:15,15,15,24	original	partially	23:5 26:9 31:7 34:17
25:22 29:19 42:12,14	7:8 44:12	7:15,23	35:4,5,11 44:9,11,11
45:24	originally	particularly	44:12,13,15
	9:20 14:23	3:12 10:12 49:9	planning
O	ostensibly	parties	2:8 4:3,14 8:20 9:6
obviously	4:20	41:8,11 42:8,16 50:9	17:17 24:5
7:8,14 8:5 41:20 46:17	outline	patience	plans
49:5,8,16	40:21	9:10	3:8 8:19 11:22 14:8
occupants	outlined	paved	23:1 32:20 34:15,16
22:17	9:4 45:19	25:23	34:21,24
occurred	outside	peer	please
14:7	8:7 19:11,17	31:12,21 46:9	43:5
offer	overall	penalty	pocket
37:12	7:9 15:3,4	50:11	4:23,23
offered	overhead	people	point
37:14	32:8	15:24 17:6	4:18 9:10,24 10:20,20
Office	overview	percent	11:22 15:20,22 16:5
1:10	17:14	15:7,10	16:14,15 18:9 20:6
oh		Perfect	20:10,14 22:16 24:3
15:5 35:8	P	37:8	26:5,7 28:12 31:17
okay	package	period	36:12 39:14 45:12
8:15 18:5 19:5 22:11	4:11 7:12 17:19 18:5,7	36:14,21 37:2,19 38:3	pointed
29:17 34:2 38:2,11	18:20 36:9 39:8	38:14,23 42:7	11:24
38:21 42:21 45:4,10	packages	perjury	pointing
49:20	18:6	50:11	19:2
old	page	permit	portion
4:17	22:5	29:8	12:15 28:9
once	Pages	phase	position
3:13 5:15,22 46:1	1:2	38:15	40:18
ones	park	photometric	possible
12:21	17:6	4:11	44:6,7 49:12
open	parking	picked	posted
15:6 35:13 48:4	4:19,21 5:9,17 6:1,4,8	28:11	8:21,22 36:10 44:2,15
opinion	6:15,20 7:1,4,9 10:4	pieces	post-testimony
39:5	10:7 11:20 12:21,23	11:24	38:15
opportunity	12:24 16:23 17:2,4,7	place	prefer
3:7,14 26:13 33:12	17:10,11,12 18:2,3	50:5	49:8
34:8 37:3,12,14,15	22:6,7,9,10,12,15,15	placed	prepare
46:1 48:10	23:16,18 25:12,13,20	18:21	41:1
oral	26:2 30:5,15,16,17	places	preparing
49:7	33:24	16:4	35:19
order	Parkway	plan	
		piaii	present
	I	l	l

3:8 9:10 34:10 36:9 proper 18:15 19:11.20 22:4 recommendation 24:8 35:7,12 36:21 presentation 22:22 36:23 38:1,4 3:14,22 recommendations properties 38:22 40:15 41:13,14 presented 5:6,16 19:16 42:5 44:8 47:1 48:19 40:16 8:20 32:19 33:4 35:24 property questions reconfiguration 7:21 13:1 16:15 32:7 37:13 39:8 44:14 8:10,12,14 17:17 19:8 30:18 26:5 28:18,20 29:22 reconfigured presenting proposal 4:1 9:2,13 6:3.5.24 33:13 33:20 38:7,10,19 record presumably 41:6 proposed 45:12 17:10,11 25:7 28:2 quite 4:5 9:3,4 44:9 10:14 47:19 pretty 35:21 recordable 10:17 12:13,17 13:20 42:15 proposing R 21:13 26:4 36:2 14:19 reduce radii previous provide 25:16 6:21 7:4 17:6 18:6 23:24 4:15 23:12 37:15 49:6 reduced raised primarily 49:16 18:3 31:21 34:4 7:9 provided reducing raising prior 24:16 25:2 33:14 6:16 47:1 31:5 36:20 45:1 provides reduction ready probably 39:1 17:12 25:15,22,23 44:24 13:4 21:11 provision reference real problem 32:1,3 20:23 32:3 24:12 46:14 referenced public really 22:24 problems 36:17,18 37:3,12,18 9:6 11:3 12:8 15:15 47:22 39:9 42:23 45:2.5 referencing 22:21 32:24 48:13 procedural 46:1 48:1,7,9 50:2,15 32:21 Realty 32:17 publicly referred 1:7 2:14 4:3 procedures 45:16 26:8 rear 47:23 pull referring 13:1,11,11,18,19 proceedings 14:1 22:7 19:21 26:3 3:1 49:24 50:4 push refers reason process 14:1 18:22 10:3 9:9 12:17 30:8 32:23 pushing reflect reasonable 33:7 39:10,11 40:21 14:2 23:5 39:9 47:16 29:15 45:22 48:14,15 reflects put reasons processes 4:24 20:23 4:5 9:22 40:4 P.C regional recall professional 2:11 29:16 3:9 9:21 25:14 9:6 p.m regs receive 29:4,4,5 project 1:9 3:2 49:23,24 34:20 43:9 10:12,15 11:1 12:16 regulation 0 received 39:1 24:7 25:7 27:23 quarter 34:15 37:23 28:13 29:8 36:22 regulations 17:22 20:11 recommend 29:7,15,15,20 promise 39:2 41:22 question 43:6 relate

19:10,11,16	29:20	reviewed	section
19:10,11,16 related		24:6,7 27:2 31:8 38:18	
	requirement	1	12:14 28:14,14 30:24
12:8 15:7 18:16	12:16,24 13:6,15 14:6	38:18	31:9,15,20 32:2
relates	14:22 15:8,10,11,16	reviewer	sections
11:24 13:11 14:12	32:17,18 33:3 42:2	31:12,21	31:1,3
15:16	requirements	reviewing	see
relation	12:6,12,20 13:2,3,13	32:10 34:21	3:13 5:18 6:8 7:4,15
12:3,4	18:23 29:7,11,13	revised	12:22 13:6 32:16
relationship	requires	3:8 4:6,16 8:19 22:5	33:14 40:6 45:1,16
10:22 11:1,4,5 12:1	16:8 17:8,11	24:10,22 34:15	46:10 48:4,5 49:8
relative	requiring	revisions	seen
44:23 50:8	15:2 17:5	39:19,21	33:15 42:11
relevant	Residences	revisit	self
35:5	1:8 3:4	33:13	12:17
relief	residential	revisiting	self-explanatory
25:9	22:6,7	18:1	12:13
remaining	resolved	right	sense
6:16	43:21	16:11 17:23 22:8,14	10:10 20:15 29:5
removal	respect	23:16 24:1 26:24	sentence
33:24	21:3 23:15 24:4,8	28:5,12 40:9,13	23:17
removed	25:19 26:1,19 27:22	44:19 46:13	service
7:5	31:11,15 32:4	road	32:5
render	respond	5:16 7:17 8:5	set
36:22	30:3	room	13:1 34:15,17 35:4,5
replace	response	14:18	35:12,19 44:13,16,23
44:17	28:19 29:24 33:16	run	45:1 50:5
report	rest	3:22 4:8 34:12	setback
4:4	10:23 13:21	Russett	8:8 11:2,19 12:19,23
	restricted	5:16	13:6,8,19,24 14:5,9
Reporter 1:21 50:1	42:13	5:10	
	· -	S	19:21,23 28:9 30:15
request	restricting	Sam	30:16
5:24 9:18 25:13 32:1	41:3	38:5	setbacks
40:11	restriction	Samuel	10:8 12:21 13:5,21
requested	29:7 42:1,3,15	2:9	19:16 25:9,19 26:1
4:20 11:8 22:6 30:22	restrictions		30:6
requesting	40:15,18,20	saying	sheet
20:17	result	20:17 39:16	11:12
requests	17:9	says	shoe
23:13 27:18 34:9	review	22:9 33:3 42:17	15:21
require	12:15,17 24:11 25:13	Schwartz	short
16:13 17:3	25:19 26:13,23 28:15	2:15 8:23,24 19:1	21:10 41:23
required	30:22,24,24 32:22	22:14 23:3,6 32:13	shorthand
9:15,24 10:1,5,22,24	34:9,16 35:22,23	32:16	50:7
14:14,21,24 16:16	36:3,8,15 41:5 43:8	se	show
17:13 25:5,6,7 27:13	46:9 47:14	11:5	5:2,14 28:13

		İ	İ
showed	sounds	29:3	31:6
28:2	43:20	statement	substance
side	source	21:3	27:3
4:19 5:2,6,7,10,13,19	47:17	stays	substantive
6:8,11 7:5,6,9,14,21	sources	21:17	32:17 42:2
8:6 9:23 12:5 13:15	47:14	Steinfeld	subtract
13:16 14:6 17:1,1	South	2:8 37:10 43:9 44:3,6	15:20
19:9,13,16,19,24	1:8	45:3,5,9,14 46:20	sudden
21:5 26:2 31:23 34:1	space	step	40:6 46:4
34:1	4:24,24 13:24 14:2	8:16 30:22	sufficient
significant	15:6 41:3,19	steps	47:8
4:18	spaces	34:13 45:18	suggesting
similar	4:19 5:9,17 6:1,6,9,11	Steve	28:6
4:17 21:3	6:15,20 7:1,4 17:10	8:16 11:24	suggestion
Similarly	17:11,13 18:3 25:15	Steven	49:3
5:2	25:16,22 33:24	2:15 8:24	suggestions
simpler	speak	Stick	3:12 48:21
30:8	27:6 42:18	15:12	Suite
simply	speaking	stormwater	1:16
7:7 10:1	41:8,11 42:8,16	31:24 32:4,20	summarize
sir	specific	Storrs	11:7,10
28:22	12:11 31:3 41:13,14	2:15 9:1	summary
site	specifically	straddle	7:3 9:14 11:12
4:16,17 13:21 15:4	12:4 29:3 32:3 41:22	8:4	superseded
32:7	specifics	Street	18:6 23:1 32:23
sites	9:19 11:14,15	1:11,16	supposed
28:10	spoke	strike	24:19
size	20:24	22:19	sure
14:12 15:8,21	square	striped	10:10 32:15 36:7 46:6
skin	14:13,15,16	6:15	48:20
5:3	squeezed	studies	surrounding
slightly	26:22	31:10,16	11:1
27:10,11	staff	stuff	suspended
small	4:3,14 9:5,6 24:5,5	23:9	49:24
24:17	34:14,16 35:11,14,18	subject	S7
smaller	36:1 38:6,8	46:17,22	12:8,9 14:2
15:1 18:2	Stantec	submit	T
soon	2:12,13 11:10	49:5	table
44:6,7	start 7:20 12:7 39:10	submittal 24:9	23:17 24:17,19 31:9
sorry 16:19 19:14 21:8	7:20 12:7 39:10 started	submitted	tables
30:12 37:6,16 46:21	14:1 34:8	4:4 7:12 23:2 26:20	24:16
sort	starts	35:14,15 43:10,13	take
4:12 11:21 17:14 31:7	21:14	44:12 47:8 48:24	4:16 20:9,10 21:7 37:5
34:12 41:13 49:18	state	submitting	39:5,13,23 42:10
JT.14 T1.1J T7.10	state	Submitting	

	1	1	I
taken	24:16 27:9,12,17,21	1:10 32:1 36:10	16:20,20 26:3 27:6,9
4:20 25:18 50:4,7	31:1,5,19 35:15 36:3	town's	46:19
talk	40:12 41:12,21 44:22	8:22	two-bedroom
12:2 35:9	47:15 48:1,13	traffic	8:6
talked	Thornton	31:12,15,20,23 32:20	types
17:5 40:20 41:2	8:5	33:6	9:14
talking	thorough	transcribed	typical
12:11,22	25:12 36:3,8	49:17	39:11
technical	thought	transcript	typically
9:22 22:22 23:9	22:23 32:10 33:7 36:6	35:23 50:6	26:18
term	36:16	transfer	
25:6	thoughts	47:4	U
terms	33:15	transportation	Uh-huh
13:24 29:10 33:3,7	three	31:10,12	17:23
40:4 45:23	9:22 12:5 14:15 16:18	treatment	ultimately
testimony	16:24 18:1 24:14	8:2	36:20
37:5,13,15,20 41:15	39:18 46:19	tried	underground
46:23 47:8,12,17	three-bedroom	26:17	32:7
48:12 49:7,15,16	8:7	truck	underlying
thank	three-story	5:11	47:12
8:15,23 9:5,9 19:2,5	15:14	trucks	understand
22:3,20,21 23:7,14	thrown	5:23	9:12 11:23 20:16
28:17 30:1 32:12	27:12	true	39:12,16 41:24 46:24
33:11 40:9,13 42:20	time	50:6,12	47:6,18,22,24 48:7
44:20 49:3,19,23	21:15 26:20,22 31:17	try	48:16 49:13
Theo	33:19 34:15 37:7	10:6 11:10 14:1 21:19	understanding
2:13	39:15 40:5,12 44:4	26:5 39:3 40:2	43:16
theoretical	45:23 50:5	trying	Understood
41:14	times	26:21	28:17
theory	39:18	turn	uneasy
47:7	timing	11:6	47:19
they'd	37:8 43:4	turned	unit
17:7	today	19:21	14:14,16,17
thin	4:13 17:22 23:2 24:10	turning	units
35:21	44:14	6:21	12:8 14:19
thing	told	turn-around	unusually
13:23 37:21 41:17	45:4	5:1 6:24 30:20	45:22
things	tonight	twenty	updated
10:7 11:2,22 13:5	4:8 24:22	29:18	19:2 23:5
26:21 33:6 40:6	Tonight's	twenty-one	usable
44:10	3:7	5:9 15:15	15:6
think	total	twice	use
6:10 9:8,13 10:19,20	6:1 7:4 14:20 25:17	39:18	10:13 11:18,21 12:7,7
11:23,23 12:13 14:8	29:17	two	12:10,11 23:17,19
18:19,21 22:13,14,18	town	5:9,9 8:4 9:20 14:4	24:19 25:9 27:23

29:6 41:3	24:24 25:1,6,24 26:8	26:14	16:3 20:8,9
useful	26:19 27:13 28:2	week's	worst
41:5	29:6,9 30:17,23,23	17:9 33:16 34:7 44:1	22:2
utilities	31:16,22 33:1,9 34:9	49:10	worth
32:6	44:2	weren't	48:12
utility	waivers	4:12 26:22	wouldn't
32:5	3:17,21,22 4:10 8:11	west	14:24 16:16 31:21
utilizing	8:17 9:3,11,13,15,19	4:19 5:2,6 6:8,11 7:5	wrap
27:6	9:23 10:1,4,16,16,18	7:14 9:21 33:24	39:3
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	10:21,24 11:7,11,17	we'll	write
variance	12:14 14:23 19:10	3:22 4:10 9:17 30:12	39:15
12:6 16:9	20:4 21:2 22:5 24:4	34:23,24 35:2 41:9	writing
	24:7,14 25:3 29:2,3	43:10	38:23 39:4 49:6,8,12
varies	29:18 35:10 43:12,13	we're	written
29:11	43:15	4:22 12:11,19,22 13:8	44:16 49:19
variety	waiver's	13:8,15,18 14:3,19	wrong
8:3	16:21	16:10 17:2,4,4,11	18:22
various	waiving	21:17 29:18 30:14	
13:21	31:19	33:1 37:4 38:2,7,8	X
verifying	want	45:4 48:4,5,15	X
27:4	9:4,16 11:14,14 17:18	we've	42:12
version	18:9 27:23 31:1,8,14	4:6 7:8 8:1 10:6 12:12	XIX
19:3	31:15,17,19,21 32:10	12:14 15:2 17:19	1:1
vet	34:22 35:9 36:7	24:7 26:17 30:7 31:4	
48:13	39:18,18,21 41:17	31:22 32:18 33:6	<u>Y</u>
VFW	47:3 48:7 49:2,19	34:14 39:14 41:16,19	Y
6:2	wanted	48:3	42:14
view	29:1 30:3	who've	yard
7:19 24:24 29:15	Washington	9:6	13:5,7 18:22 19:9,13
Village	1:11	wires	19:16,20,21,24 26:3
10:23 13:17 19:12	wasn't	32:8	yards
Volume	18:9 25:12	witching	19:19
1:1	way	3:18	Yeah
	6:10 11:18 14:17	wondering	22:11,13
W	15:18,18,19 16:3,7,8	30:5	year
wait	16:12 18:13 19:23	word	40:8
34:24	20:3,8,9,23 21:24	10:13 27:17	year's
waive	40:7 49:19	words	48:12
31:3,15 32:1	website	22:19 49:19	Yup
waiver			19:7
12:7,10,11,12 14:3	8:22 36:10 43:2,11	work	
15:1,3,10,13,15,16	45:13	9:7 21:18 26:17 30:13	Z
16:13,16 17:3,5,8,12	week	39:6 40:3,6	ZBA
17:20 18:6 19:21	24:23 25:2,15 33:24	working	45:6
20:6,17 22:5,18	34:4 49:7,22	9:7 35:18 43:21	zoning
23:13 24:4,6,10,14	weeks's	works	1:5 10:12,14,14 16:3
20.10 2 1,0,10,11			

24 0 20 14 22 2 42 2	1 22 22	20.50	
24:9 29:14 32:2 42:2	22:23	38.58	55
Zuroff	2,000	24:18	23:19
2:6 3:6 34:3 40:14	14:16		57
41:2,9,21 42:4,9,20	2.13	4	15:3
47:24	25:1	4th	
Zuroff's	20	17:20 18:17,20	6
41:12	23:1 24:21	40	6
41.12		21:8,21 39:3,7,13,20	7:24,24 24:24 27:13
0	20-foot	39:23 40:11	6th
02111	19:23	39.23 40.11 40A	1:11
	20130094		
1:17	1:6	48:15	60
02445	2014	40B	21:16,21
1:12	1:9 23:1,7 50:13	1:7 10:11,15,22 32:23	61
	2017	40:4	6:12
1	50:16	40-day	617
1	21	36:14,21 37:2,19 38:2	1:18,18
5:1 7:13,14 20:7		38:14,23 40:3 42:7	
1,400	6:1 7:5 20:7,14,17	40-foot	7
17:2,3	25:15 34:1	21:7	7
1-50	21.1		8:4 24:15
1:2	26:8	401	7-inch
	21.66	1:16	
10	26:10		26:11
4:19 5:24 6:6,11 7:1,5	22	5	7:00
7:13 21:12 25:16	23:19	5	1:9 49:23
33:24	292	7:19 24:15	7:09
10-foot	17:10	5.09	3:2
21:8	17.10	32:22 33:7,8	
109	3	5.09.4.G	8
14:19	3	32:5	8
11	7:13,14 24:15 50:16	50	1:9 8:4 23:6
7:24	3,000	21:24	8th
14		509	17:22 18:7 19:3
39:2,24	14:15	12:14 30:23,24 31:9	8:15
15th	30	31:22 32:10,16	49:21
3:20 34:19 36:9 37:10	15:7,9		8:17
	31	509.3.C.3B	49:24
37:11 43:10 49:22	7:4 25:17	31:4	サ フ・ ム サ
16th	323	509.3.C.6	9
43:11	17:11	31:10	9
179	33	509.4.F	-
1:16	16:6	31:24	7:24
18th	333	54	
37:8 50:13	1:11	23:19	
	35	542-0039	
2		1:18	
2	16:2 18:10,13 20:2,13	542-2119	
7:13,14 18:16,21	20:14 21:1,1	1:18	
,1. 10.10,21		1.10	
	•	•	•